For the Periods Ending September 30, 2017

Portfolio Description

- Strategy Small to Mid (SMID) (Strategy change in 2010)
- Manager Atlanta Capital Management Company
- Vehicle Separately Managed Account
- Benchmark A blend of Russell 2500 and Russell 2000
- Performance Inception Date January 2000
- Fees Manager Fee 45 bps; Admin Fee 14.5 bps
- **Total Expenses** Approximately 63 bps

Portfolio Information

- Minimum initial investment \$50,000
- Minimum subsequent investments \$5,000
- Minimum redemption \$5,000
- The Portfolio is open once a month, on the first business day following the Portfolio Valuation date, to accept Member contributions or redemptions.
- The Portfolio is valued on the last business day of the month.
- The Administrator must have advance written notification of Member contributions or redemptions.


Portfolio Objectives and Constraints


- Invests in small to mid cap core style common stocks of companies domiciled in the US or traded on the New York Stock Exchange.
- Outperform a blended index of the Russell 2500 beginning June 1, 2010 and the Russell 2000 prior to that, over a complete market cycle (usually 3 to 5 years).
- Rank above median in a relevant peer group universe.
- Stock values fluctuate in response to the activities of individual companies, the general market and economic conditions. Shares of the Portfolio are neither insured nor guaranteed by any US Government agency, including the FDIC.

Dollar Growth Summary (\$000s)


	3 Months	FYTD
Beginning Market Value	116,115	107,264
Net Additions	-79	-6,699
Return on Investment	4,535	20,006
Income	215	938
Gain/Loss	4,320	19,068
Ending Market Value	120,571	120,571

For the Periods Ending September 30, 2017






For the Periods Ending September 30, 2017



The rankings represent the portfolio's returns versus a peer universe. The rankings are on a scale of 1 to 100 with 1 being the best.

For the One Year Periods Ending September



The rankings represent the portfolio's returns versus a peer universe. The rankings are on a scale of 1 to 100 with 1 being the best.